

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| NATIONAL | NATIONAL (CONTINUED) | STATE (CONTINUED) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>UNITED STATES PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DEMOCRAT</p> <p>U.S. President, JOHN F. KERRY U.S. Vice President, JOHN EDWARDS</p> <p>PACIFIC GREEN</p> <p>U.S. President, DAVID COBB U.S. Vice President, PATRICIA LA MARCHE</p> <p>CONSTITUTION</p> <p>U.S. President, MICHAEL ANTHONY PEROUTKA U.S. Vice President, CHUCK BALDWIN</p> <p>LIBERTARIAN</p> <p>U.S. President, MICHAEL BADNARIK U.S. Vice President, RICHARD V. CAMPAGNA</p> <p>REPUBLICAN</p> <p>U.S. President, GEORGE W. BUSH U.S. Vice President, DICK CHENEY</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, 2ND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JIM LINDSAY Libertarian</p> <p>JOHN C. MCCOLGAN Democrat</p> <p>GREG WALDEN Republican</p> <p>JACK ALAN BROWN, JR. Constitution</p> <p>-----</p> <p>STATE</p> <p>SECRETARY OF STATE</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>BETSY L. CLOSE Republican</p> <p>RICHARD MORLEY Libertarian</p> <p>BILL BRADBURY Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>ATTORNEY GENERAL</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICHARD D. HAKE Constitution</p> <p>PAUL CONNOLLY Republican</p> <p>HARDY MYERS Democrat</p> <p>DONALD G. SMITH, JR. Libertarian</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>UNITED STATES SENATOR</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TERESA KEANE Pacific Green</p> <p>AL KING Republican</p> <p>DAN FITZGERALD Libertarian</p> <p>RON WYDEN Democrat</p> <p>DAVID BROWNLOW Constitution</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>STATE TREASURER</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RANDALL EDWARDS Democrat</p> <p>JEFF CATON Republican</p> <p>CAROLE D. WINEGARDEN Constitution</p> <p>MITCH SHULTS Libertarian</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>STATE SENATOR, 1ST DISTRICT</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JEFF KRUSE Republican</p> <p>BRUCE W. CRONK Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> <p>STATE SENATOR, 2ND DISTRICT</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JASON A. ATKINSON Republican</p> <p>-----</p> <p>STATE SENATOR, 3RD DISTRICT</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JIM WRIGHT Republican</p> <p>ALAN C. BATES Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> <p>STATE SENATOR, 28TH DISTRICT</p> <p>VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>ROSS CARROLL Democrat</p> <p>DOUG WHITSETT Republican</p> <p>-----</p> |

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| STATE (CONTINUED) | STATE (CONTINUED) | JACKSON SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT |
|---|---|--|
| <p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 2ND DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SUSAN MORGAN Republican</p> <p>LINDA MONGKEYA Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNTY</p> <p>COUNTY COMMISSIONER, POSITION 2 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SUE DENSMORE Democrat</p> <p>DENNIS C. W. SMITH Republican</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>DIRECTOR, ZONE 2, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>BARBARA NIEDERMEYER</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 4TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICHARD KOOPMANS Democrat</p> <p>DENNIS RICHARDSON Republican</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>NONPARTISAN STATE JUDICIARY</p> <p>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, POSITION 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DARLEEN ORTEGA Incumbent</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>DIRECTOR, ZONE 3, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>WALTER FITZGERALD</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 5TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JOANNA LOFASO Republican</p> <p>PETER BUCKLEY Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>NONPARTISAN COUNTY JUDICIARY</p> <p>JUSTICE OF THE PEACE SOUTHERN DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DAMIAN MICHAEL IDIART</p> <p>JOE CHARTER</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>DIRECTOR, ZONE 4, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>CHARLES BOYER</p> <p>-----</p> <p>DIRECTOR, ZONE 5, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>KEITH CORP</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 6TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SAL ESQUIVEL Republican</p> <p>JOHN DOTY Democrat</p> <p>-----</p> | | |
| <p>STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 55TH DISTRICT VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>GEORGE GILMAN Republican</p> <p>-----</p> | | |

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| CITY OF ASHLAND | TOWN OF BUTTE FALLS | CITY OF CENTRAL POINT |
|--|---|--|
| <p>MAYOR 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>STEVE HAUCK</p> <p>JOHN MORRISON</p> <p>JOAQUIN SALOMA</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RON ORMOND</p> <p>STEVE HARVEY</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>CHARLES C. COLLINS</p> <p>HENRY (HANK) C. WILLIAMS</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>COUNCILMEMBER, POSITION NO. 1 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DON LAWS</p> <p>JACK HARDESTY</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNCIL POSITION #1, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SANDRA MCCONOCHIE</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNCILMEMBER, WARD 4, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>RICHARD W. HALLEY</p> <p>CAROL FISCHER</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>COUNCILMEMBER, POSITION NO. 3 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>CATE HARTZELL</p> <p>MICHAEL MORRIS</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNCIL POSITION #2, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TODD A. HACKWORTH</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNCILMEMBER, AT LARGE, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR TWO</p> <p>EDWARD G. LINDBLOOM</p> <p>KAY HARRISON</p> <p>BECCA CROFT</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>COUNCILMEMBER, POSITION NO. 5 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>TOM GIORDANO</p> <p>RUSS SILBIGER</p> <p>GARY SMITH</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>COUNCIL POSITION #3, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JEFF SANDERSON</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>CITY OF EAGLE POINT</p> <p>MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>LEON C. SHERMAN</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>ASHLAND PARKS COMMISSION NO. 1 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JOANNE EGGERS</p> <p>-----</p> | | <p>COUNCILMEMBER 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR THREE</p> <p>ALAN CURRISTON</p> <p>RICHARD GAREY WALRUFF</p> <p>RUSSELL GROVES</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> |
| <p>ASHLAND PARKS COMMISSION NO. 2 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>JIM LEWIS</p> <p>-----</p> | | |

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| CITY OF GOLD HILL | CITY OF MEDFORD | CITY OF PHOENIX |
|---|--|--|
| MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | MAYOR, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE |
| NO CANDIDATE FILED ----- | GARY WHEELER BOB STROSSER ----- | DAN SAURO VICKI BEAR ----- |
| COUNCILMEMBER, AT LARGE 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR THREE | COUNCIL MEMBER, WARD 1 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | CITY COUNCILOR, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR THREE |
| MARY ANN JONES STACY C. MORRETTI ----- ----- ----- | JASON ANDERSON ----- | TERRY HELFRICH CURTIS P. GEORGESON STEVEN A. SCHULMAN HAROLD (HAL) BURKS ----- ----- ----- |
| CITY OF JACKSONVILLE | COUNCIL MEMBER, WARD 2 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | |
| MAYOR ONE WITH HIGHEST VOTE - 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | JAMES KUNTZ ----- | |
| JAMES W. LEWIS JERRY MATHERN ----- | COUNCIL MEMBER, WARD 3 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | |
| | MICHAEL TORGUSON JOHN STATLER ----- | CITY OF ROGUE RIVER |
| COUNCILOR THREE WITH HIGHEST VOTES - 4 YEAR TERMS VOTE FOR THREE | COUNCIL MEMBER, WARD 4 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE | MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE |
| LIZ KELLOGG RICHARD E. AMES JAMES J. FRINGS DONNA L. SCHATZ ----- ----- ----- | GREG JONES ----- | NO CANDIDATE FILED ----- |
| | | COUNCILMEMBER, AT LARGE 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR THREE |
| | | GEORGE JORGENSEN ----- ----- ----- |

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| CITY OF SHADY COVE | STATE MEASURES | STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>MAYOR, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DICK BAILEY</p> <p>JAY BAUER</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY</p> <p>31 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: AUTHORIZES LAW PERMITTING POSTPONEMENT OF ELECTION FOR PARTICULAR PUBLIC OFFICE WHEN NOMINEE FOR OFFICE DIES</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote amends constitution to authorize law providing that an election for a particular public office may be postponed when nominee for that office dies.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing law, which contains no provision permitting postponement of an election for a particular public office when nominee for that office dies.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Current law does not provide for the enactment of a law postponing an election for a particular public offices when a nominee for that office dies. Measure authorizes the legislature to enact a law permitting postponement of an election for a particular public office when a candidate nominated for that office dies; in that circumstance, the legislature may enact a law: (1) allowing the postponement of the regularly scheduled election for the office in question; (2) allowing the office in question to be filled at a subsequent election; and (3) prohibiting the votes cast for candidates at the regularly scheduled election for the office in question from being considered. Measure does not affect election process for other candidates or measures on the ballot.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.</p> | <p>PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION</p> <p>33 AMENDS MEDICAL MARIJUANA ACT: REQUIRES MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES FOR SUPPLYING PATIENTS/CAREGIVERS; RAISES PATIENTS' POSSESSION LIMIT</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote amends Medical Marijuana Act: requires creating marijuana dispensaries to supply patients/caregivers; allows dispensary/caregiver sales to patients; increases amount patients may possess.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current Oregon Medical Marijuana Act, which allows registered patients to possess limited amounts of marijuana for medical purposes, and prohibits marijuana sales.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Oregon Medical Marijuana Act currently allows registered patients to possess/deliver/produce limited amounts of marijuana for medical purposes. Current law prohibits all marijuana sales, including sales to patients. Measure creates licensing program for nonprofit, regulated medical marijuana dispensaries, which may supply six pounds marijuana yearly per patient. Permits dispensaries to sell marijuana to registered patients/caregivers; percentage of proceeds funds program. Requires dispensaries to provide indigent patients marijuana. Requires county health departments in counties without licensed dispensaries to become dispensaries and supply marijuana to registered patients. Allows designated caregivers to sell marijuana to their registered patients. Increases marijuana registered patients may possess to ten mature plants, any number seedlings, one pound usable marijuana (six pounds if patient grows only one crop yearly). Other provisions.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: The measure would require state expenditures of \$340,000 to \$560,000 per year on a recurring basis, with additional one-time start-up costs of \$135,000. All but \$75,000 of these costs may be offset by fees to be established by the Department of Human Services as provided in the measure. The financial effect on local government revenues and expenditures cannot be determined.</p> |
| <p>CITY COUNCILOR, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR TWO</p> <p>LOIS M. HOLLAND</p> <p>DARYL J. (DEE) HAWKINS</p> <p>ALISON CURTIS</p> <p>RICK MORI</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> | <p>32 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: DELETES REFERENCE TO MOBILE HOMES FROM PROVISION DEALING WITH TAXES AND FEES ON MOTOR VEHICLES.</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote allows taxes and fees on mobile homes to be used for nonhighway purposes.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains restriction on use of taxes and fees on mobile homes.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure authorizes expenditure of taxes and fees on mobile homes for nonhighway purposes. Under current law, taxes and fees on mobile homes are required to be spent for highway or administrative purposes, but may also be used for park purposes.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.</p> | |
| <p>CITY OF TALENT</p> <p>COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT #2, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>WILLIAM SMART</p> <p>-----</p> | | |
| <p>COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT #3, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>DAWN WATSON</p> <p>-----</p> | | |
| <p>COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT #4, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>R. C. DAVIS</p> <p>EDWIN JEROME (EJ) MCMANUS II</p> <p>WENDY SIPOREN</p> <p>-----</p> | | |
| <p>COUNCIL MEMBER, SEAT #6, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>BRIAN ROBERTS</p> <p>-----</p> | | |

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

34 REQUIRES BALANCING TIMBER PRODUCTION, RESOURCE CONSERVATION/PRESERVATION IN MANAGING STATE FORESTS; SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSES TWO FORESTS

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires managing state forests balancing, as equally beneficial, conservation/preservation and timber production; manages Tillamook, Clatsop forests half for restoration, half for production.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current law allowing mixed use state forest management; rejects: requiring management that values conservation and production equally, separately managing Tillamook, Clatsop Forests.

SUMMARY: Current law directs that Board of Forestry manage all state forests to maximize "permanent value" (defined by board) through mixed use, including timber sales, mining, protecting, conserving, utilizing forests. Measure requires management defining "permanent value" as balancing sustainable timber production with water, wildlife, watershed protection, recreation, forest restoration, considering resource conservation equally beneficial to timber production. Manages Tillamook, Clatsop Forests half for forest restoration, prioritizing drinking water, habitat, fish protection; half for sustainable timber production, with restoration management steps recommended by restoration science team. Addresses using timber revenues for common School Fund, forest restoration management (board providing additional funding as needed); continues current local school funding levels. Measure declares it replaces any other management plan for Clatsop, Tillamook Forests adopted in 2004 election. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: With respect to the Tillamook and Clatsop State Forests:

The measure is estimated to increase state expenditures by \$1.5 million to \$6.3 million per year;

The measure is estimated to decrease state revenue by \$4.2 million to \$10.3 million per year;

The measure is estimated to require approximately \$2 million of one-time state expenditures;

The measure is estimated to decrease revenues for local governments by \$17.2 million to \$19.4 million per year; and

There is no financial effect on local government expenditures.

The impact of the measure on other state forests cannot be determined.

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

35 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITS NONECONOMIC DAMAGES (DEFINED) RECOVERABLE FOR PATIENT INJURIES CAUSED BY HEALTHCARE PROVIDER'S NEGLIGENCE OR RECKLESSNESS

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote limits recovery of noneconomic damages (defined) for negligent or reckless injury to patient by healthcare provider to \$500,000 (adjusted annually for inflation).

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current law, which places no limit on jury's award of noneconomic damages (defined) for injury caused by negligence, recklessness of healthcare provider.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Under current law, there is generally no limit on jury's award of noneconomic damages to patient, patient's legal representative, or patient's spouse for injury caused by healthcare provider. Measure limits recovery of noneconomic damages for negligent or reckless injury caused by an Oregon licensed healthcare provider or healthcare entity to \$500,000. Defines noneconomic damages to include pain; mental suffering; emotional distress; loss of society, companionship, services; loss of sexual relations; inconvenience; interference with normal and usual activities apart from employment. Specifies formula to adjust for inflation annually. Limitation applies regardless of extent of injuries, number of people entitled to damages, or number of defendants sued. Does not apply to wrongful death claims. Applies to suits filed after January 1, 2005. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

36 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: ONLY MARRIAGE BETWEEN ONE MAN AND ONE WOMAN IS VALID OR LEGALLY RECOGNIZED AS MARRIAGE

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote adds to Oregon constitution declaration of policy that only marriage between one man and one woman is valid or legally recognized as marriage.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains existing constitution without a provision declaring that only marriage between one man and one woman is valid or legally recognized as marriage.

SUMMARY: Amends constitution. Oregon statutes currently provide that marriage is a civil contract entered into in person between individuals of the opposite sex, that is, between males and females at least 17 years of age who solemnize the marriage by declaring "they take each other to be husband and wife." The existing Oregon Constitution contains no provision governing marriage. Currently, the State of Oregon recognizes out-of-state marriages that are valid in the state where performed, unless the marriage violates a strong public policy of Oregon. Measure adds to Oregon Constitution a declaration that the policy of the State of Oregon and its political subdivisions is that "only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or legally recognized as a marriage."

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial effect on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

37 GOVERNMENTS MUST PAY OWNERS, OR FORGO ENFORCEMENT, WHEN CERTAIN LAND USE RESTRICTIONS REDUCE PROPERTY VALUE

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires that governments pay owners, or forgo enforcement by repealing, changing, not applying restrictions, when certain land use restrictions reduce owners' property value.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects requiring that governments pay owners or forgo enforcement by repealing, changing, not applying restrictions, when certain land use restrictions reduce property value.

SUMMARY: Currently, Oregon Constitution requires government(s) to pay owner "just compensation" when condemning private property or taking it by other action, including laws precluding all substantial beneficial or economically viable use. Measure enacts statute requiring that when state, city, county, metropolitan service district enacts or enforces land use regulation that restricts use of private real property or interest thereon, government must pay owner reduction in fair market value of affected property interest, or forgo enforcement. Governments may repeal, change, or not apply restrictions in lieu of payment; if compensation not timely paid, owner not subject to restrictions. Applies to restrictions enacted after "family member" (defined) acquired property. Creates civil right of action including attorney fees. Provides no new revenue source for payments. Certain exceptions. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The measure would require state administrative expenditures to respond to claims for compensation of between \$18 million and \$44 million per year.

The measure may require compensation to landowners. The amount of state expenditures needed to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.

There is no financial effect on state revenues.

The measure would require local government administrative expenditures to respond to claims for compensation of between \$46 million and \$300 million per year.

The measure may require compensation to landowners. The amount of local government expenditures needed to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.

The effect of the measure on local government revenues cannot be determined.

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

STATE MEASURES (CONTINUED)

38 ABOLISHES SAIF; STATE MUST REINSURE, SATISFY SAIF'S OBLIGATIONS; DEDICATES PROCEEDS, POTENTIAL SURPLUS TO PUBLIC PURPOSES

RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote abolishes SAIF; state must reinsure, satisfy SAIF's current obligations (including pending policyholder claims against SAIF); dedicates proceeds, potential surplus to specified public purposes.

RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains law authorizing SAIF, a public corporation, to sell and administer workers compensation insurance and to administer an accident fund for that purpose.

SUMMARY: State Accident Insurance Fund (SAIF) is a public corporation selling, administering workers compensation insurance, and administering accident fund for that purpose. Measure abolishes SAIF. Requires state to assume SAIF's authority over accident fund; reinsure fund; satisfy SAIF's obligations under its existing policies; use fifty percent of any excess surplus (meaning any funds exceeding reserves and surplus necessary to satisfy future liabilities) to satisfy policyholder claims in litigation before October 2003; transfer forty percent of any excess surplus to new fund; sell SAIF's assets; transfer proceeds to same fund; and reinsure, otherwise resolve SAIF's remaining liabilities. Dedicates new fund to supporting schools, local law enforcement; providing medications to seniors, medically needy; promoting job growth. Requires certain reports to legislature regarding rates for insurance premiums. Other provisions.

ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The measure would reduce state revenue by approximately \$405 million per year and would reduce state expenditures by approximately \$301 million per year due to the elimination of SAIF.

The measure would require additional state government expenditures of \$1.8 million to \$5.5 million per year on a recurring basis with an additional one-time expenditure of \$2.2 billion to \$2.4 billion.

There will be a one-time increase of state revenues of \$32.6 million from sale of real property.

The measure would require local government expenditures of \$2.6 million to \$10.5 million per year on a recurring basis.

There is no financial effect on local government revenues.

ROGUE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

17-3 ROGUE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

QUESTION: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount of not more than \$24,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

SUMMARY: The District is seeking approval of this measure to finance the acquisition of property for construction, remodeling, equipping and furnishing buildings as appropriate; and to refinance prior financing agreements that funded capital construction and improvement projects. The buildings will be part of Rogue Community College campuses in Jackson County. Property acquisition, remodeling and construction of facilities will provide a technical training center to support industrial and technical training programs, including short-term skills training, workforce development and community college associate degree programs, primarily for the purpose of bringing jobs to the region. The refinanced capital construction and improvement projects include buildings used for classrooms, labs and other District facilities. The bonds will be payable from taxes on property located in that portion of the District located in Jackson County. The bond will cost taxpayers approximately \$0.14/\$1,000 assessed value - about \$28/year for an average \$200,000 home. The bonds will mature over a period of not more than 21 years.

EVANS VALLEY FIRE DISTRICT NO. 6

15-57 FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT

QUESTION: Shall Evans Valley Fire District #6 impose \$.36 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years beginning 2005-06 to finance capital improvements? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: Evans Valley Fire District No. 6 is seeking a five-year local option tax to finance acquisition of a water tender, a first response medical vehicle and related equipment. Funds will be used for replacement of outdated apparatus and equipment.

The proposed rate will raise approximately \$51,120 in 2005-06; \$52,653 in 2006-07; \$54,233 in 2007-08; \$55,859 in 2008-09 and \$57,535 in 2009-10.

15-58 FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX — EVANS VALLEY FIRE DISTRICT #6

QUESTION: Shall District impose \$0.98 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years beginning 2005-06 for improvements and general operations? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this local option tax is to provide funding that will allow Evans Valley Fire District #6 to improve the level of staffing, significantly improving the delivery of training and volunteer recruitment. This funding would allow us to upgrade minor equipment that is not capitalized and purchase protective equipment for additional volunteer firefighters.

The proposed rate will raise approximately \$139,160; \$143,334 in 2006-07; \$147,634 in 2007-08; \$152,063 in 2008-09, and \$156,625 in 2009-10.

ROGUE RIVER RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

15-54 FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX

QUESTION: Shall the district continue imposing \$0.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value for general operation, for five years beginning in 2006-2007?

This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

SUMMARY: The Fire District's calls for service have increased by 31% over the last five years. Yet personnel have increased by only one firefighter (9%) during the same time frame. We have replaced one fire engine in the last seventeen years.

The passage of this measure will continue an expiring levy.

This levy will maintain current staffing levels for the Rogue River Rural Fire Protection District. Without this additional revenue, the district will be required to reduce the paid and volunteer work force.

The levy will provide the needed support to offset the inflationary cost of maintaining the current level of service enjoyed by district patrons.

The levy will also provide funds for the replacement of a 30-year-old fire engine and equipment. Passage will allow the district to attain the goal of one fire engine replacement every ten years and retire one after thirty years of service. The district's current fleet consists of a 1979, 1987 and 2001 fire engine. The replacement engine is planned for 2010.

It is estimated that the proposed tax will raise \$204,465.00 in 2006-2007; \$209,577.00 in 2007-2008; \$214,817.00 in 2008-2009; \$220,187.00 in 2009-2010 and \$225,692.00 in 2010-2011, for a total of \$1,074,738.00. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the County Assessor at the time of estimate.

WHITE CITY LIGHTING DISTRICT

15-56 FORMATION OF WHITE CITY LIGHTING DISTRICT WITH PERMANENT RATE LIMIT

QUESTION: Shall the District be formed with a permanent rate limit of \$0.47 per \$1,000 of assessed value beginning in 2005-2006?

SUMMARY: This measure provides for the formation of the White City Lighting District to provide street lighting within the White City Urban Containment Boundary. The measure also provides that a permanent rate limit of \$0.4700 per \$1,000 assessed value of property be adopted as the maximum rate of operating taxes for the district, beginning in fiscal year 2005-2006.

SAMPLE GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT • JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 2, 2004

ONLY THE APPROPRIATE CANDIDATES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT

| CITY OF JACKSONVILLE | CITY OF MEDFORD | CITY OF TALENT (CONTINUED) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>15-55 FIVE-YEAR OPERATING LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR THE JACKSONVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT</p> <p>QUESTION: Should the City impose \$1.06 per \$1,000 of assessed value for Fire Department services beginning 2005-2006? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p>SUMMARY: If approved, the levy will provide tax revenue for expanded Jacksonville Fire Department services including 24 hours, seven-days per week protection, and assistance in funding existing fire and emergency medical response services. Proceeds of the levy would be used to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Fund four new firefighter positions; 2) Help provide funds for the purchase of an upgraded Fire Engine; 3) Provide tuition for 3 student firefighters; 4) Bring on two summer seasonal firefighters each year; 5) Provide for materials and services such as maintenance, supplies, and training; and 6) Help fund existing Fire Department operations. <p>The goal of the levy is to change the Fire Department from primarily a volunteer department to primarily a paid, regularly-staffed department in order to better ensure fire protection for the community.</p> <p>The total amount of money to be raised by the proposed levy for each year of the five-year levy is \$230,000.00.</p> <p>The levy would only be implemented if the Public Safety Surcharge is rendered void or rescinded.</p> | <p>15-59 BONDS FOR SPORTS PARK CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall City of Medford issue general obligation bonds in the amount of \$10,000,000 for Medford Sports Park capital projects? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure authorizes the City of Medford to issue general obligation bonds in the amount of \$10,000,000 for capital construction and capital improvements for projects to include, but not limited to, park development, trail and infrastructure improvements, and park facilities. The bonds may be issued in one or more series, and the bonds of any such series shall mature not more than 20 years from the issue date.</p> <hr/> <p>15-60 BONDS FOR SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION IN CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREAS</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall City of Medford issue general obligation bonds in the amount of \$4,600,000 for sidewalk construction in elementary school areas? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure authorizes the City of Medford to issue general obligation bonds in the amount of \$4,600,000 for sidewalk construction in elementary school areas. The bonds may be issued in one or more series and the bonds of any such series shall mature not more than 20 years from the issue date.</p> | <p>15-51 FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall the City of Talent impose \$.30 per \$1000 of assessed value for parks and recreation operations for five years beginning 2005-2006? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than 3 percent.</p> <p>SUMMARY: The City will use the tax revenue from this measure to provide a dedicated fund to maintain City parks and to develop recreation program opportunities. In the past the City parks maintenance has been funded through the general fund and competes with other governmental services each year for funding. This local option tax would assure funding for parks at a consistent level for the next five years. This tax will also allow the City the opportunity to develop recreation programs for both youth and adults. Such programs can provide after school and summer organized activities to the growing youth population. Some adult programs would also be developed. By providing recreation programs within the City limits, all citizens of Talent will have an increased opportunity to participate at whatever level they may choose. The proposed rate will raise approximately \$54,306 in 2005-2006, \$55,935 in 2006-2007, \$57,613 in 2007-2008, \$59,341 in 2008-2009 and \$61,122 in 2009-2010.</p> <hr/> <p>15-52 REVISION TO THE CHARTER ADOPTED IN JULY 1998</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall the City of Talent amend its Charter to authorize real property liens as to delinquent utility charges?</p> <p>SUMMARY: This amendment would provide that the City Council is authorized to enact an ordinance creating real property liens to secure delinquent utility charges and to provide the procedure for creating the liens and the foreclosure thereof.</p> |
| | <p>CITY OF TALENT</p> <hr/> <p>15-50 CITY OF TALENT, GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall the City be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$5,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>SUMMARY: If approved, this measure provides funds to the City to finance the costs of capital construction and capital improvements, including, but not limited to, providing funds to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design, construct and furnish a civic center complex to include city hall and a community activity center. • Site improvements to open space parkland and parking for public uses. • Renovation and expansion of the existing Talent Police Station; and • Pay bond issuance costs. <p>The Bonds will mature in 26 (twenty six) years or less.</p> | <hr/> <p>15-53 REVISION TO THE CHARTER ADOPTED IN JULY 1998</p> <p>QUESTION: Shall the City of Talent amend its Charter to require four Council members to create a quorum?</p> <p>SUMMARY: These amendments would provide that the City Council is composed of the six Council members and that a majority of those six Council members must be present to create quorum and that the express concurrence of the Council members present at a meeting and constituting a quorum is necessary to decide affirmatively a question before the Council.</p> |